

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,160	DUSTIN, MRYON R.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Hugh B. Thompson II	3634	

All Participants:
Status of Application: newly filed

 (1) Hugh B. Thompson II(PTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Charles Prescott.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 November 2005
Time: am
Type of Interview:

- ☐ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1-6

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was informed of an election of species requirement, specifically, Figure 5 (claims 1 and 3), Figures 7-10 (claims 2, 4, 5), and Figure 6 (claim 6). Applicant elects the species of claims 1 and 3, drawn to the offset locking pins. Claim 6 will be cancelled and claim 3 will be incorporated into claim 2.